



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,878	02/27/2004	Hiroyuki Kato	0275M-656USB 9148		
27572	7590 11/23/2004		EXAMINER		
HARNESS,	DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L	GORDON, STEPHEN T			
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIEL	B D HILLS, MI 48303	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3612		
•			DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
			'8	KATO, HIROYUKI	
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Stephen (Gordon	3612	
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communicate	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ess
A SHORTENED STATHE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specii - If NO period for reply is specified. - Failure to reply within the second and reply received by the Common state.	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR OF THIS COMMUNICA available under the provisions of 37 in the mailing date of this communicated above is less than thirty (30) date cified above, the maximum statutoret or extended period for reply will, I office later than three months after the tent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. ys, a reply within the state y period will apply and within the state by statute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tinutory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status					
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed or	n <i>2-27-04</i> .			
2a) ☐ This action is F		 ☑ This action is n	on-final.		
	ication is in condition for a	•	•		nerits is
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s)		vithdrawn from co			
Application Papers					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) Applicant may no Replacement drawing	in is objected to by the Exfiled on 27 February 200 of request that any objection awing sheet(s) including the claration is objected to by	$\frac{4}{3}$ is/are: a) \square accomplete accomplete accorrection is required.	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119				
12) Acknowledgme a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Copies of applications.	nt is made of a claim for to me * c) None of: copies of the priority doc copies of the priority doc of the certified copies of the on from the International d detailed Office action fo	cuments have bee cuments have bee ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)	od (DTO 900)		A) [] let! ?	(DTO 442)	
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/789,878 Page 2⁻¹

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 2 – line 13, "clip" should be plural. Additionally, on page 3 – line 14, "is" should be –are--.
 Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1, the phrase "such as a vehicle body" in line 3 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). The phrase should be deleted. Additionally, "the longitudinal direction" bridging lines 11 and 12 lacks clear antecedent basis and could be written as –a longitudinal direction—for clarity. Finally, "the lateral direction" in line 14 lacks clear antecedent basis and could be written as –a lateral direction—for clarity.

Re claim 3, it is noted the claim should end in a period.

3. Claims 1-3 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. It should be noted, the instant claim 1 is deemed allowable over applicant's admitted prior art of figures 5A and 5B (hereinafter referred to as "Kato) in as much as Kato is not deemed to define a footrest with lengths "equal to or slightly shorter" relative to workpiece (i.e. the vehicle floor) hole dimensions as recited by applicant.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,878 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note at least Dendo et al teaches a footrest including securing clip assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Gordon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

stg